

1.)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}(0,0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0)$$

$$3 = 2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0)$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) = 1}$$

2.)

$$f(1,\pi) = 1 \cdot \cos \pi = -1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) = \cos y \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y) = -x \sin y$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(1,\pi) = -1 \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(1,\pi) = 0$$

$$\text{Tangent plane: } f(1,\pi) + (x-1)\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(1,\pi) + (y-\pi)\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(1,\pi)$$

$$= -1 + (x-1) \cdot (-1) = \boxed{-x}$$

3.)

Let $U_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ s.t. } \|x\| \leq 1 - 1/i\}$. Collection of closed sets

and $\bigcup U_i = \mathbb{B}^n$. (Example for union)

However, the intersection of closed sets is always closed
(Basic property of closed sets), and \mathbb{B}^n is not closed. (Proof that impossible for intersection)

4a) No. $f(x) = x$ $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ are two distinct functions with $d(f, g) = 0$, so non-negativity violated.

4b) No. ^{Similar to 4a!} $f(x) = 1 - x$ $g(x) = x$ with $d(f, g) = 0$ but $f \neq g$.

4c) Yes. (see book)

4d) No. Let $f(x) = 0$, $g(x) = 1$, $h(x) = 2$. Then $d(f, g) = 1$, $d(g, h) = 1$
 $d(f, h) = 2$, so

triangle inequality violated.

4e) Yes (see book)

4f) No. Let $f(x) = 0$, $g(x) = 1$. Then $d(f, g) = 0$, $d(g, f) = 1$,
so symmetry violated.

5a) No Yes (Pathwise-connected \Rightarrow connected, so Yes (but connected) \Rightarrow (not pathwise-connected))

53) Can't tell.

5c) Can't tell.

5d) $K \subset B_r(0)$ is bounded and closed, hence seq. compact, and so is the image $f(K)$. Yes.

5e) U is convex, hence connected, and so is its image $f(U)$. Yes.

5f) K is seq. compact, hence closed, and so is its preimage Yes $f^{-1}(K)$.

5g) Pick $\{(1-1/n, 0, \dots, 0)\} \subset B_1(0)$. Sequence is Cauchy and converges to $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ not in $B_1(0)$. No.

5h) Let $C < 1$ be a Lipschitz constant. Then $|\sin(x) - \sin(y)| \leq C|x-y|$, in particular, $|\sin(x) - 0| \leq C|x|$, but $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \right| = 1$, so $C < 1$ is a contradiction. Hence, f not contraction. No

5i) $|f''(x)| = \left| -\frac{1}{2} \sin(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, so f Lipschitz with constant $\frac{1}{2}$, and \mathbb{R} complete, so f is a contraction. Yes.

5j) U closed subset of complete space, so U complete \Rightarrow U closed.

$$d(u_s, u_t) \leq \sum_{k=s}^{t-1} d(u_k, u_{k+1}) = \sum_{k=s}^{t-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{s-1}$$

So $\{u_n\}$ Cauchy, so $\{u_n\}$ converges.

Yes

56)

 Yes.

$$u_1 = (0, -1, 0)$$

$$u_2 = (1, 0, -1, 0)$$

$$u_3 = (1 - 1/2, 0, 1, 0)$$

$$u_4 = (1 - 1/2 + 1/3, 0, 1, 0)$$

$$u_5 = (1 - 1/2 + 1/3 - 1/4, 0, 1, -1, 0)$$

$$u_6 = (1 - 1/2 + 1/3 - 1/4 + 1/5, 0, 1, -1, 0)$$

Converges.

57) Let $U = \mathbb{Q}^n$. Then $\text{bd } U$ is \mathbb{R}^n which is open. Yes.

6)

K seq. compact, so f attains its minimum for some $x_0 \in K$.

Let $r = f(x_0)/2$. Then $r > 0$, and $f(x) > r$.

(If K is empty, any $r > 0$ will do.)

7a) \cos is Lipschitz unipiv, so Yes

7b) x^2 is Lipschitz on $[-2, 2]$, so Yes at least for some ϵ ,
no solution for all t however.

7c) No. Example from class.

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < t_0 \\ \frac{(t-t_0)^2}{4} & t \geq t_0 \end{cases}$$

is a solution for every $t_0 \geq 0$.

8)

Assume V is open. Let $u \in f^{-1}(V)$. We need to show that there is $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(u) \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Let $v = f(u)$.

Because V is open, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(v) \subset V$.

By 1) there is $\delta > 0$ such that

for all $x \in X$ $\text{dist}(u, x) < \delta \Rightarrow \text{dist}(f(u), f(x)) < \epsilon$.

If $x \in B_\delta(u)$, then $\text{dist}(u, x) < \delta$, so $\text{dist}(f(u), f(x)) < \epsilon$, so $\text{dist}(v, f(x)) < \epsilon$, so $f(x) \in B_\epsilon(v) \subset V$.

Hence, $B_\delta(u) \subset f^{-1}(V)$.

9). We know that $\text{int } U \cup \text{bd } U \cup \text{ext } U = \mathbb{R}^n$

that $\text{int } U, \text{ext } U$ open,

and that $\text{int } U \cap \text{bd } U = \text{ext } U \cap \text{bd } U$
 $= \text{int } U \cap \text{ext } U = \emptyset$.

Assume that $\text{bd } U = \emptyset$, and $\text{int } U \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\text{int } U \neq \emptyset$.

Then $\text{int } U$ and $\text{ext } U$ separate \mathbb{R}^n , so by Definition \mathbb{R}^n is not connected. A contradiction, so $\text{int } U = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\text{int } U = \emptyset$. In the first case, since $U \cap \text{int } U = \mathbb{R}^n$, $U = \mathbb{R}^n$. In the second case, $\text{ext } U = \mathbb{R}^n$, and

$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U \supset \text{ext } U$, $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U = \mathbb{R}^n$, so U empty.